

APPENDIX A: ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR IDENTIFYING BEST PRACTICES

SECTION ONE: Recommended Initiative
Initiative Title:
Synopsis:
Applicable uses according to target group:
Applicable uses according to causal factor:
Applicable uses according to strategies:

SECTION TWO: Performance Measures	Yes	No
Were any evaluations, cost/benefit analyses, or cost effectiveness analyses conducted?		
If yes, please indicate the author, title, source, and/or web-link where the cost-benefit/cost-effective analyses can be located.	Works Cited	
	Scope of the Problem	
	Evidence	

SECTION THREE: Evidence		LEGEND	EVALUATION
How would you rate the research-based evidence that might support this initiative?	Demonstrated to be effective by one or more high-quality evaluations with consistent results (systemic review, multi-centre studies)	☆☆☆☆☆	
	Demonstrated to be effective in certain situations	☆☆☆☆	
	Likely to be effective based on balance of evidence from high-quality evaluations or other sources	☆☆☆	
	Effectiveness still undetermined; different methods of implementing this countermeasure produce different results	☆☆	
	Limited or poor-quality evaluation evidence (descriptive studies, case studies, expert opinion, studies of poor methodological quality)	☆	

SECTION FOUR: Scoring and Recommendation	PROVEN Must have at least 4-5 stars for question 3	PROMISING Must have at least 2-3 stars for questions 3	NOT ACCEPTED No evaluations, cost/benefit analyses, or cost effectiveness analyses
It is recommended that this initiative be attributed the following rating with respect to including it into the RSS 2025 on-line inventory.			